CTBT0.0RG

WOSMIP 4 - 7 December 2023 Santiago, Chile



COMPREHENSIVE CTBTO | COMPREHENSIVE NUCLEAR-TEST-BAN TREATY ORGANIZATION

Updating Algorithms of Isotopic Activity Ratios and associated Thresholds for Screening **CTBT-relevant Nuclear Events** 

Boxue Liu, Joshua Kunkle, Yuichi Kijima, Robin Schoemaker, Mark Prior IDC/CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



# Introduction: A staged UNE event characterization



Evolution of isotopic activity ratios over time connects sample measurements at IMS RN stations to an assumed UNE scenario.



#### Introduction: Event characterization

- Event characterization of CTBT-relevant nuclear events is performed using the four radioxenon plot, 2D plots of activity concentrations and evolution plots of activity ratios of paired isotopes.
- It is based on the evolution through activities released at the explosion site to activity concentrations in the plume of air over IMS stations.
- This work focus on the event screening using isotopic ratios: algorithms and associated thresholds.





### Issues for event screening

Bayesian limits for event screening flag in A/RRRs

- Lower limits of isotopic ratios are used.
  - Xe-135/Xe-133>5,
  - Xe-133m/Xe-133>0.3,
  - Xe-133m/Xe-131m>2,
  - Xe-133/Xe-131m>1000 (to be implemented)
- How should we set these thresholds?
  - 0.3 of Xe-133m/Xe-133 is < 1 day.
  - 5 of Xe-135/Xe-133 is by 1 day.
- What should these thresholds be based on?
  - Release scenarios by given days post of UNE, or
  - Distributions of isotopic ratios at IMS stations.

• Plume transport from a release site to an IMS station might take a few days.



Days	Xe133m/ Xe131m	Xe133/ Xe131m	Xe133m/ Xe133	Xe135/ Xe133
1	155	2410	0.0645	<mark>7.94</mark>
2	118	2250	0.0525	1.29
5	55.9	1840	0.0303	0.00937
10	13.3	<mark>1240</mark>	0.0108	7.53E-7
20	<mark>1.26</mark>	634	0.00198	1.38E-13

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



## Algorithms of isotopic ratio estimation

- The isotopic ratio can be calculated:
  - Nominal (1<sup>st</sup>-order polynomial, linear model)
  - 2OP (2<sup>nd</sup>-order polynomial, non-linear model)
  - Monte Carlo Method (MCM)
- Bayesian limits in the current IDC products are inconsistent with MCM for large uncertainty.
- Limits of the coverage interval by 2OP are consistent with MCM.

• For uncertainties <30%, there is no large impact on ratio estimation amongst different methods.

• Larger uncertainties of concentrations, Larger impact.





## Event screening based on IMS detection(s)

## Screening a nuclear explosion source from the radioxenon background:

- Hypotheses test on nuclear explosion source
  - Based on isotopic ratios detected in a sample, related to activity concentrations estimated by radioxenon detections at IMS stations
  - ✓ Null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>: radioxenon background
  - ✓ Alternative  $H_1$ : nuclear explosion source

	True radioxenon	True nuclear
	background	explosion
Do not reject H <sub>0</sub>	Correct radioxenon	False negative
	background	
Reject H <sub>0</sub>	False positive	Correct nuclear
		explosion

#### Screening thresholds

- Given coverage interval of 90% or 95% (false positive/negative)
- Based on UNE release scenarios or PDF measured at IMS stations



## Event screening: False positive/negative with 5%

# Hypothesis testing for event screening by isotopic ratio analysis

- The coverage interval.
  - PDF of isotopic ratios in a sample can be derived by MCM
  - 95% in Bayesian limit is applied in current A/RRRs
  - 90% is suggested, e.g., 5% false positive/negative
- Hypothesis of event screening in IDC products
  - ✓ This looks like the definition of detection limit (MDA)
  - ✓ The lower limit is used, like false negative
    - ✓ A UNE is identified by false negative 5%
- Hypothesis of identifying a UNE
  - ✓ The definition looks like decision threshold (LC)
  - ✓ The upper limit is used, like false positive
    - ✓ A UNE cannot be ruled out by false positive 5%.





## Event screening: Distributions of routine detections 1/4

#### **Radioxenon background measurements**

- Distributions of routine IMS xenon samples
  - USX75 in 2014 to 2023
  - Xe-133/Xe-131m





**Xe-133/Xe-131m:** Routine detected ratios are far below the threshold of 1000.

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



## Event screening: Distributions of routine detections 2/4

#### **Radioxenon background measurements**

- Distributions of routine IMS xenon samples
  - USX75 in 2014 to 2023
  - Xe-133m/Xe-131m





**Xe-133m/Xe-131m: Routine d**etected ratios might above the current threshold of 2, but below a suggested new one of 50.

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



## Event screening: Distributions of routine detections 3/4

#### **Radioxenon background measurements**

- Distributions of routine IMS xenon samples
  - USX75 in 2014 to 2023
  - Xe-133m/Xe133





**Xe-133m/Xe133:** Detected ratios are often above the threshold of 0.3.

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



## Event screening: Distributions of routine detections 4/4

#### **Radioxenon background measurements**

- Distributions of routine IMS xenon samples
  - USX75 in 2014 to 2023
  - Xe-135/Xe-133





Detected ratios are all on the civil domain in 4Xe-plot; additional pair is needed for screening.

PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



## Event screening: Algorithm and associated thresholds

#### **Event screening**

- The thresholds cannot be based on the distributions of measured isotopic ratios at IMS stations but on release scenarios with given days post of UNE.
- The upper and lower limits of the coverage interval (LCIs) are based on the distributions of the isotopic ratios derived from a single measurement of IMS samples.
  - Non-Gaussian PDF
- Event screening is based on statistical testing between the thresholds and estimated LCIs.





# Updating algorithms and associated thresholds for event screening

- Suggestions:
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Polynomial is used for estimation of the ratio and its limits of the coverage interval with 90%.
  - Thresholds are based on UNE scenarios by 5 days.
    - Xe-135/Xe-133: 0.01,
    - Xe-133m/Xe-133: 0.03,
    - Xe-133m/Xe-131m: 50,
    - Xe-133/Xe-131m: 1800
  - Screening decisions
    - LCIs are estimated using the PDF of activities measured in the sample, derived by MCM.
    - Upper limit: False positive of 5%
    - Lower limit: False negative of 5%



Suggested thresholds of Isotopic activity ratios (U235f, full ingrowth and close cavity UNE)

	Xe133m/ Xe131m	Xe133/ Xe131m	Xe133m/ Xe133	Xe135/ Xe133
Current	2	1000	0.3	5
Suggestion	50	1800	0.03	0.01
5 days	55.9	1840	0.0303	0.00937

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



- Updating thresholds of event screening in the A/RRRs of IDC products
  - Second Order Polynomial approximation is used for estimation of the isotopic activity ratio and its limits of the coverage interval with 90%.
  - Thresholds are based on UNE scenarios related to early releases by 5 days.
  - Event screening is to perform hypothesis testing on the upper and lower limits of the coverage interval against the thresholds.
- More investigations
  - The probability of 90, 95 or 99%, should be used for different false positive/negative
  - Specify an early release for each pair of isotopes at given days
  - Combined screening using the plots of activity ratios of more than 3 isotopes

Thank you for listening!

## Any questions?



Vienna International Centre, PO Box 1200 1400 Vienna, Austria **CTBTO.ORG** 



From IMS observations to event characterization by isotopic activity ratios

#### **Characterization of CTBT-relevant nuclear events:**

- Screening a nuclear explosion source from releases of nuclear facilities using 4-xenon-plot.
- Estimation of the detonation time using a function of isotopic activity ratios over time.







sotope Pair: Xe133/Xe131m

Ratio Method: 20P LCI Method: ISO syn Coverage Interval: 901

2020-Feb

01

**Event characterization** 



PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



# Hypothesis tests from IMS sample measurements to event characterization

### Three different Hypothesis tests

- Hypotheses test on radioxenon detection
  - ✓ Based on activities collected in a sample
  - ✓ Null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>: detector background
  - ✓ Alternative  $H_1$ : xenon present in the sample
- Hypotheses test on anomaly radioxenon background
  - ✓ Based on concentrations in the plume of air
  - ✓ Null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>: normal radioxenon background
  - $\checkmark$  Alternative H<sub>1</sub>: anomaly radioxenon background

#### Hypotheses on nuclear explosion

- ✓ Based on isotopic ratios detected in the sample
- ✓ Null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>: radioxenon background
- ✓ Alternative H<sub>1</sub>: nuclear explosion

	True detector background	True radioxenon detection
Do not reject H <sub>0</sub>	Correct detector	False negative
	background	
Reject H <sub>0</sub>	False positive	Correct xenon detection

	True normal radioxenon	True anomaly radioxenon
	background	background
Do not reject H <sub>0</sub>	Correct normal radioxenon background	False negative
Reject H <sub>0</sub>	False positive	Correct anomaly radioxenon background

	True radioxenon background	True nuclear explosion
Do not reject H <sub>0</sub>	Correct radioxenon	False negative
	Dackground	
Reject H <sub>o</sub>	False positive	Correct nuclear explosion

#### CTBT0.0RG

#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS



### Radioxenon detection in a sample

## Identifying radioxenon detection from the detector background:

- Hypothesis test on radioxenon detection
  - ✓ Based on activities collected in a sample
  - ✓ Null hypothesis H₀: detector background
  - ✓ Alternative  $H_1$ : xenon present in the sample

	True detector background	True radioxenon detection
Do not reject H <sub>o</sub>	Correct detector background	False negative
Reject H <sub>o</sub>	False positive	Correct xenon detection



- Currie's model and/or ISO 11929:2019
- Based on the distribution of a detector background



#### PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS